

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

oh

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/09/2003	Tadlington A. Stout	STO100C	5639
7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
CHARLES D. GAVRILOVICH, JR.,		NGUYEN, TAM M	
A CRESTA, SUITE A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-6729		3764	
	09/09/2003 590 10/18/2004 C GAVRILOVICH, JR., DNAL CORPORATION A CRESTA, SUITE A	09/09/2003 Tadlington A. Stout 590 10/18/2004 GAVRILOVICH, JR., DNAL CORPORATION A CRESTA, SUITE A	09/09/2003 Tadlington A. Stout STO100C 590 10/18/2004 EXAM CAVRILOVICH, JR., NGUYEN DNAL CORPORATION A CRESTA, SUITE A ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	_
INGTON A.	
address	
imely. ils communication.	
the merits is	
).	
' CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
nal Stage	
į	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,906	STOUT, TADLINGTON A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tam Nguyen	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: , cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	lication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not red	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,906

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim 21-24, 27, 31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Solloway (4,468,023).

As to claims 21-24, 27, 31 and 33, Solloway discloses an aquatic exercise device comprising a bell having apertures (214), symmetrically arranged fins (222,224,226,228), a curved transverse fin (230) and a handle (202) as substantially claimed (see Fig. 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 25, 26, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solloway (4,468,023).

As to claims 25, 26, 34 and 35, Solloway discloses an exercise device as described above (see discussion of claims 23 and 31 as described above). Solloway does not disclose multiple apertures that are elongate in shape. At the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,906

Art Unit: 3764

invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to multiply Solloways apertures into any of an array of shapes and patterns as long as the apertures continued to provide a decreased weight and fluid flow passageways (see Col. 9, lines 56-60). Furthermore, a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Page 3

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 21-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-5 and 15 of U. S. Patent No. 6,672,993 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: an aquatic exercise device comprising a bell having: apertures to allow water flow, fins and a handle connected within an interior of the bell as substantially claimed.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gruenewald '397, Shelton et al. '113 and Giannini et al. each disclose exercise devices that may be used for water exercises.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0784. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,906 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 14, 2004

...

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10/14/04